

112
93. The method of claim 75 wherein the material of the outer layer is selected from the group consisting of nylon 6, nylon 6/6, nylon 6/66, nylon 6/9, nylon 6/10, nylon 6/12, nylon 11 and nylon 12.

REMARKS

In accordance with the above amendments, claims 66-70, 73, 77, 78, 81 and 82 have been canceled, without prejudice, and claims 80-67, 80 and 82-85 have been amended and new claims 86-93 added. Claims 61-64 and 74-76, 79, 80 and 83-93 remain under consideration in this application and no claim has been allowed.

It is believed that the amendments to the claims overcome the several rejections to the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph enumerated by the Examiner in the Official Action pending in the parent application.

A plurality of rejections on the merits were pending in the parent application and these are respectfully traversed and believed to have been overcome by the present claims.

With respect to the merits, applicant submits that the prior art fails to teach coincident, coextrusion layer selection that solves both the problem of pinhole leaks and catheter attachment in the manner of the present invention. Thus, neither Levy '421 or Dyke '382 deal with multiple layers which solve both problems and Wang et al '969 fails to appreciate problems associated with high pressure balloon technology. It is believed that, in view of this,

that the further secondary references add nothing that alters the fundamental patentability of the present claims.

In view of the above amendments taken together with the remarks herein, consideration and early allowance of the claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

HAUGEN AND NIKOLAI, P.A.



C. G. Mersereau
Registration No. 26205
Attorneys for Applicant(s)
820 International Centre
900 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 339-7461

Dated: August 5, 1996